

Sl. no. 3 CP

4

**OFFICE OF THE ADDL. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE:
NEW DELHI DISTT.: NEW DELHI**

No. 2987 /Compt./NDD, dated, New Delhi the 19/10/2009.

To

Sh. N.K.Kaushik, ✓
Assistant Director,
National Commission for Scheduled Tribes,
6th Floor, 'B' Wing,
Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market,
New Delhi-110003.

Subject:- Complaint of Ms.Nutan Karketta, r/o 83, Chilla Village, Mayur Vihar, Phase-I, New Delhi.

Sir,

This is with reference to your office letter No. N.K./3/2009/STGDH/ATOTH/RU-I dated 25.8.09 (addressed to Commissioner of Police, Delhi), on the subject cited above.

In this connection, it is stated that an enquiry has been conducted which revealed that complainant Ms. Nutan Karketta had made a complaint at PS Ch. Puri on 29.4.09, regarding the alleged rape incident by Satendra Paswan on 26.4.09 at the above premises at 11 PM & the alleged harassment/abusing/torture meted out to her, by her employer Dr.Jagdish Prasad, who is favouring the alleged culprit. When the said incident was reported to local police on 29.4.09, lady police officials were called and medical examination of the complainant as well as of the accused were got conducted at Lady Hardinge Hospital and exhibits were collected. Clothes worn by the complainant and the accused were also taken into police possession, and help of Chief Co-ordinator, Suraksha a NGO was also taken. The place of incident was visited to examine the veracity of the complaint given by the complainant. Statements of the residents and female servants and other people connected with the case were recorded. The complainant looked incoherent and therefore the complaint was kept pending. All the exhibits were sent to FSL, Rohini, on 5.5.09 for opinion in this case.

However, on this complaint a case vide FIR No.87 u/s 376 IPC was registered on 11.5.09 at PS Ch. Puri and copy of FIR had also been given to the complainant on 12.5.09.

All the exhibits, which were sent to FSL, Rohini for expert opinion have now been received back after the examination. The result of the FSL report is not supporting the version of the complainant Nutan Karketta. As per the FSL report-Human semen was detected on only one exhibit which is the pant of the alleged Satender Paswan. Blood was detected only on the exhibits which were taken as blood samples by the doctors from the complainant Nutan Karketta and the alleged Satender Paswan. Vaginal epithelial cells could not be detected on "pubic hair of Nutan Karketta, small nail clippings of the alleged Satender Paswan, and the pant and T-shirt of the alleged Satender Paswan". Skin could also not be detected in the Nail cuttings of Nutan Karketta.

According to the complainant Nutan Karketta, she was gang-raped in her native village in Jharkhand three years back, but no report of that incident was lodged despite the fact that Nutan Karketta would have been a minor at that time. As per the MLC of the complainant, no external injury marks, lacerations, abrasion, scratches or tear were seen despite the fact that she complained of being raped twice within last 10 days and the last sexual assault took place about 3 days prior to the MLC. The lawyer who came to PS Ch. Puri along with the complainant and her cousin Suchita categorically told Ms. Neetu Soni, NGO for Rape Crises Intervention, that in case

Pr. I
Pl. K. Karketta
20/10/09

(8)

the alleged Satender Paswan agrees to marry Nutan Karketta then they would withdraw their complaint against him. Nutan Karketta showed different places of occurrence(bathrooms) to W/SI Jamuna and the NGO's Neetu Soni. Statements of other female servants of the house were also recorded by W/SI Jamuna and none of them supported the allegations of Nutan Karketta levelled against Satender Paswan. Additionally two young unmarried girls who are the daughters of Dr. Jagdish Prasad were present in the house alongwith Nutan Karketta before and after the alleged incident of rape. Their statements need to be relied upon as both are Doctors and are 22 and 24. Surely, Nutan Karketta could have confided or made some mention. She did not. Conduct and behaviour post incident also does not corroborate the alleged rape. All these facts goes to show that the complaint given by Nutan Karketta against Satender Paswan is not credible and even the FSL report is not supporting her version of sexual intercourse between her and alleged person Satender Paswan.

In these circumstances there are no grounds either to arrest or to file charge-sheet against the alleged Satender Paswan and it is a fit case for cancellation of FIR as the complainant has levelled false allegations of rape being committed on her by Satender Paswan. The investigation of the case is complete and the final report u/s 173 Cr.PC is going to be filed shortly.

As regards, the allegations made against Insp. R.N.Choudhary and Const. Anand Mohan, it is submitted that both the police officials were the part of investigating team and did not pressurize the complainant in the presence of her relatives and the placement agency, who got her the job.

Yours faithfully,


(S. DASH)

ADDL. COM MISSIONER OF POLICE:
NEW DELHI DISTT.: NEW DELHI.

No. _____/Compt./NDD, dated, New Delhi the _____/2009.

Copy forwarded for information to the:-

1. Spl.CP/Vigilance, New Delhi w.r.t. his office U.O.No.1004-05/SO/SCP/Vig. dated 8.9.09.
2. S.O. to Joint CP/NDR w.r.t. his office No.7288/C-NDR dated 15.10.2009.


(S. DASH)

ADDL. COM MISSIONER OF POLICE:
NEW DELHI DISTT.: NEW DELHI.